

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/773,876	01/31/2001	Robert J. Winchester	57005-A-PCT-US/JPW/AJM/AI	7963	
75	90 11/19/2004	1	EXAMINER SCHWADRON, RONALD B		
Cooper & Dur					
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1644		
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Amalia ati a a Ni	Applicant/a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
000 4 4 0	09/773,876	WINCHESTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<u> </u>		·				
,	, <u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers		• ;				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been re Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	🗖					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1644

- 1. Claims 18 and 19 are under consideration.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 18 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D'Apuzzo et al. in view of Gerard et al. (US Patent 6,537,764) for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action. Applicants arguments have been considered and deemed not persuasive.

D'Apuzzo et al. teach that CXCR4 is the receptor for SDF-1 (see abstract). D'Apuzzo et al. teach that SDF-1 stimulates a B cell response on human B cell lines via nteraction with CXCR4 (see Figure 4). D'Apuzzo et al. teach that the addition of anti-CXCR4 antibody blocks SDF-1/CXCR4 mediated B cell responses (see Figure 4 and 1789, first column). Thus D'Apuzzo et al. demonstrate that antiCXCR4 antibody inhibits activation of CXCR4 receptor by SDF-1, wherein the B cell response requires CXCR4 activation (eg. SDF-1/CXCR4 interaction) to take place. D'Apuzzo et al. do not teach use of said method with a non-peptidyl agent. Gerard et al. disclose nonpeptide inhibitors of chemokine function are well known in the art as is the desirability to identify such compounds (see columns 22-24, wherein column 23, second paragraph discloses nucleic acid or organic compounds). The art recognized that chemokines are involved in a variety of leukocyte functions related to inflammation(see column 1, third paragraph).

Art Unit: 1644

D'Apuzzo et al. disclose that the SDF-1/CXCR4 interaction is involved in leucocyte migration (see page 1792, first column). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have created the claimed invention because D'Appuzzo et al. teach the claimed method except for use of the assay to screen non-peptidyl agents whilst. Gerard et al. disclose nonpeptide inhibitors of chemokine function are well known in the art as is the desirability to identify such compounds. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do the aforementioned in order to identify inhibitors of the SDF-1/CXCR4 interaction that would inhibit leukocyte migration wherein said migration is pertinent to inflammation and inflammation is pertinent to a wide variety of different diseases (autoimmune diseases, etc.).

Regarding applicants comments, D'Appuzzo et al. teach the claimed method except for use of the assay to screen non-peptidyl agents whilst Gerard et al. disclose nonpeptide inhibitors of chemokine function are well known in the art as is the desirability to identify such compounds. D'Apuzzo et al. disclose that the SDF-1/CXCR4 interaction is involved in leucocyte migration (see page 1792, first column). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do the aforementioned in order to identify inhibitors of the SDF-1/CXCR4 interaction that would inhibit leukocyte migration wherein said migration is pertinent to inflammation and inflammation is pertinent to a wide variety of different diseases (autoimmune diseases, etc.). In addition, Gerard et al. disclose that chemokines are involved in the inflammatory response (see column 1, third paragraph) and the desirability of identifying chemokine inhibitors which can be further assessed for potential therapeutic use (see column 3, penultimate paragraph). While Gerard et al. largely addresses CCR3, in view of the fact that both CXCR4 and CCR3 are inflammatory chemokines, it would have been desireable to screen for inhibitors of CXCR4 for largely the same reasons that it was desireable to screen for inhibitors of CCR3 (eg. because they are both involved in inflammation and inflammation is pertinent to a variety of disease states).

4. No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1644

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached on 571 272 0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HALD B. SCHWADRON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1860 U. W

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1644